

Reconciling Antimo's and my Normalizations

Nov 14

In my scheme I write the cross section down first and then derive a likelihood function from it.

$$(1) \quad \frac{d^5 \sigma}{d^2 m d^3 \Omega} = \sigma_i B(m) [c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega) c] + \sigma_o P(m) U(\Omega)$$

where σ_i = total $\phi\phi$ cross section

σ_o = total non-resonant cross section (4K)

$B(m)$ = $\phi\phi$ mass plot density normalized to 1 over the peak (3 over whole mass plot)

$P(m)$ = 4K phase-space mass plot density normalized to 3 over the whole mass plot

$[c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega) c]$ = $\phi\phi$ angular distribution on $d^3 \Omega$ that has been normalized to 1 over all angles

$U(\Omega)$ = phase-space angular distribution on $d^3 \Omega$ normalized to 1 over all angles $= (4\pi)^{-3}$

Measured counts are given by

$$(2) \quad \frac{d^5 N}{d^2 m d^3 \Omega} = \frac{d\sigma}{d^2 m d^3 \Omega} \cdot L \cdot A(m, \Omega)$$

where L = integrated luminosity

$A(m, \Omega)$ = detector/reconstruction efficiency as a function of kinematics.

Eq. (2) tells the normalization in terms of event counts. For example, the total $\phi\phi$ count is

$$N_{\phi\phi} = \int \frac{d^5 N_{\phi\phi}}{d^2 m d^3 \Omega} d^2 m d^3 \Omega = L \sigma_i \int d^2 m d^3 \Omega B(m) A(m, \Omega) [c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega) c] \\ \equiv \sigma_i [c^\dagger \hat{\Omega} c]$$

where for convenience I introduce a new matrix $\hat{\Omega}$ defined as

$$\hat{\Omega}_{ij} \equiv L \int d^2 m d^3 \Omega B(m) A(m, \Omega) \hat{W}_{ij}(\Omega)$$

which plays the role of (luminosity $\times$ acceptance) when sandwiched between $c^\dagger$ and c .

From this I can define my likelihood function for event i

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}_i = \left[\frac{\sigma_i B(m_i) [c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega_i) c] + \sigma_0 P(m_i) \mathcal{U}}{\sigma_i [c^\dagger \hat{\Omega} c] + \sigma_0 \Omega_0} \right] A(m_i, \Omega_i)$$

where by analogy to $\hat{\Omega}$, $\Omega_0 \equiv L \int d^3 m d^3 \Omega P(m) A(m, \Omega) \mathcal{U}$.
 Ω_0 is just the ordinary phase-space acceptance.

I impose the normalization of the $\{c_i\}$ by fitting with one of the $c_i = 1$ and then after the fit rescaling σ_i and forcing the condition $\int d^3 \Omega [c^\dagger W c] = 1$ to make σ_i into the total $\phi\phi$ cross section.

When this is done then the partial cross section for wave j is just $\sigma_i |c_j|^2 \left(\frac{2J_j+1}{4\pi} \right)$. The later factor comes from the normalization we used for the $W_{ij}(\Omega)$ functions.

14 In Arfitmo's scheme, we write the likelihood first and then derive the cross section later.

$$(1A) \quad \mathcal{L}_i = \frac{w_i [c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega_i) c]}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} + (1-w_i)$$

where $w_i = \phi\phi$ weight ($0 \leq w_i \leq 1$) for event i from channel likelihood fit

$\hat{I}$ = normalization integral defined on Monte Carlo reconstructed data set as

$$\hat{I}_{ij} = \frac{1}{M_{\phi\phi}} \sum_v^{M_{\phi\phi}} \hat{W}_{ij}(\Omega_v)$$

This fit has fewer degrees of freedom because it takes the channel likelihood results as given. The normalization of $\{c_i\}$ in the fit is taken as $c_1 = 1$. The results then have to be interpreted to give a cross section.

To get this result, I need an expression for w_i

$$(2A) \quad w_i = \frac{N_{\phi\phi} B(m_i)}{N_{\phi\phi} B(m_i) + N_0 P(m_i)} = \text{local } \phi\phi \text{ fraction on the mass plot}$$

So if I rewrite the likelihood factoring out $(1-w_i)$

$$l_i \rightarrow \left(\frac{w_i}{1-w_i} \frac{[c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega_i) c]}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} + 1 \right)$$

$$\text{then } \frac{w_i}{1-w_i} = \left(\frac{N_{\phi\phi}}{N_0} \right) \left(\frac{B(m_i)}{P(m_i)} \right)$$

This allows me to recast the likelihood as equivalent to

$$l_i \rightarrow \left(N_{\phi\phi} B(m_i) \frac{[c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega_i) c]}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} + N_0 P(m_i) \right)$$

$$= \sigma_i B(m_i) [c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega_i) c] \left\{ \frac{[c^\dagger \hat{\Omega} c]}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} \right\} + \sigma_0 P(m_i) \mathcal{U} \left\{ \frac{\Omega_0}{\mathcal{U}} \right\}$$

which is equivalent to (1)

where $N_{\phi\phi}$ and N_0 are the results from channel likelihood. Up to an overall constant, l_i has to be the measured density, that is the cross section times (acceptance $\times L$). We need to find the overall constant K so that

$$(3A) \quad \frac{d^5 N}{d^2 m d^3 \Omega} = K l(m, \Omega) A(m, \Omega) L$$

$$= K \left(N_{\phi\phi} B(m) \frac{[c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega) c]}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} + N_0 P(m) \right) A(m, \Omega) L$$

To find K I look for consistency with channel likelihood.

$$\int \frac{d^5 N}{d^2 m d^3 \Omega} d^2 m d^3 \Omega = N_{\phi\phi}$$

$$= \frac{K L N_{\phi\phi}}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} \int d^2 m d^3 \Omega B(m) [c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega) c] A(m, \Omega)$$

$$= K N_{\phi\phi} \frac{[c^\dagger \hat{\Omega} c]}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]}$$

In terms of integrals

$$[c^\dagger \hat{I}_j c] \equiv \frac{1}{N_{\text{eff}}} \sum_j [c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega_j) c] = \frac{\int d^2 m d^3 \Omega B(m) A(m, \Omega) [c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega) c]}{\int d^2 m d^3 \Omega B(m) A(m, \Omega)}$$

$$= \frac{[c^\dagger \hat{\Omega} c]}{L (4\pi)^3 \bar{A}_1} \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{A}_1 \equiv \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3} \int d^2 m B(m) \int d^3 \Omega A(m, \Omega)$$

Therefore $\frac{[c^\dagger \hat{\Omega} c]}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} = (4\pi)^3 L \bar{A}_1 \Rightarrow K = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 L \bar{A}_1} \quad (*)$

To get cross section from $\frac{d^5 N}{d^2 m d^3 \Omega}$ just drop L and $A(m, \Omega)$

$$(4A) \quad \frac{d^5 \sigma}{d^2 m d^3 \Omega} = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\right)^3 \left\{ \left(\frac{N_{\text{eff}}}{L \bar{A}_1}\right) B(m) \frac{[c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega) c]}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} + \left(\frac{N_0}{L \bar{A}_1}\right) P(m) \right\}$$

This gives us the answer. Integrating gives the total cross section for $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}$ as a sum over waves

$$(5A) \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}} = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 [c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} \left(\frac{N_{\text{eff}}}{L \bar{A}_1}\right) \sum_{\mu=0} |C_\mu|^2 \left(\frac{2J_\mu+1}{4\pi}\right)$$

full acceptance corr. naive cross section

So all waves get renormalized by the same acceptance correction

(*) This consistency condition is not quite fulfilled for the 4K part, however. For that part we would have solved the same way and obtained $K = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3 L \bar{A}_0}$. This inconsistency

shows that our starting equation (1A) is not fully consistent with the channel likelihood condition (2A). This would have been correct if we had started with (1A)' instead

$$(1A)' \quad L_i = w_i \frac{[c^\dagger \hat{W}(\Omega_i) c]}{[c^\dagger \hat{I} c]} + (1-w_i) \left(\frac{\bar{A}_1}{\bar{A}_0}\right)$$

This is a potentially important correction, as this ratio is usually quite different from 1, perhaps 2 or 3.