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#### Abstract

A method has been proposed to determine the degree of linear polarization of a coherent bremsstrahlung (C) ane on the analysis of the shape on the phen spectrum. This method has been tested by comparison with a direct polarization measurement using azimuthal asymmetry of incoherent $e^{+} e^{-}$pair production, The measurement was carried out in the $\gamma-2$ photon beam line of the Yerevan synchrotron, using a 2.55 GeV electron beam and a diamond radiator oriented to position the primary coherent peak in the interval $0.9-1.1 \mathrm{GeV}$. The polarization at the peak was measured to be $0.56 \pm 0.06$, in good agreement with the value computed from spectral shape analysis.
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## 1 Introduction

Direct methods for determining the linear polarization of a coherent bremsstrahlung (CB) photon beam are based upon the azimuthal dependence of the pair conversion process. These include using an oriented crystal as the pair converter and measuring the conversion rate as a function of crystal azimuthal orientation [1], and measuring the azimuthal asymmetry of $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs from nuclear pair production [2] or of the recoil electron from triplet production [3] in an amorphous target. Alternatively, the polarization may be computed from the basic CB process for an ideal beam and crystal, and then corrected for real experimental conditions based on analyzing the shape of the CB beam energy spectrum $[4,5]$. The latter method is of great practical utility to experiments using CB beams because the beam spectrum is measured more easily than is the polarization.

In a recent article [6], a CB polarimeter is described which exploits the azimuthal dependence of incoherent $e^{+} e^{-}$nuclear pair production within narrow ranges in both polar $\Delta \theta$ and azimuthal $\Delta \varphi$ angles [7]. Monte-Carlo simulations of this polarimeter operating in the energy range $E_{\gamma}=0.9-1.1 \mathrm{GeV}$ have shown that it is capable of measuring beam polarization at the level of $\sigma_{p}=0.02$ if symmetric $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs are selected. This polarimeter has recently been constructed and installed on the $\gamma-2$ beam line of the YERPHI electron synchrotron. In this paper we present results from experimental measurements

[^0]carried out with this polarimeter in a CB beam with maximal energy $E_{\gamma}=$ 2.55 GeV .

## 2 Method of polarization measurement

## 三

The analyzing power or azimuthal asymmetry of incoherent $e^{+} e^{-}$pair production is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{\sigma_{\|}-\sigma_{\perp}}{\sigma_{\|}+\sigma_{\perp}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\|}$and $\sigma_{\perp}$ are the differential cross-sections for pairs with their production plane parallel and perpendicular to the plane of photon polarization, respectively.

For cross-sections calculations, analytical expressions of Ref. [8] are used, where the degree of linear polarization is described in the terms of parameter $\xi_{3}$. The values $\xi_{3}=+1$, -1 correspond to $100 \%$ linear polarization $\left(P_{\gamma}=1\right)$ for the polarization orientation perpendicular $(+1)$ and parallel ( -1 ) to the production plane. An experimental measurement of asymmetry necessarily includes a finite aperture around the parallel and perpendicular directions. This is taken into account using a Monte-Carlo simulation that incorporates the details of the setup. The simulation is used to produce the asymmetry $A_{M C}$ that would result if the polarization of the beam were $100 \%$.
The CB photon beam linear polarization $\left(P_{\gamma}<1\right)$ related to the measured asymmetr


$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\gamma}=A_{\text {exp }} / A_{M C}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\text {exp }}$ is the experimental asymmetry and $A_{M C}$ is the Monte-Carlo simulation result, calculated for $P_{\gamma}=1$. The simulation includes a detailed model
of pair production using differential cr \#sections with atomic forn-factors [6,9], all experimental conditions and details of polarimeter PS-6. $=$ application of the expression (2) assumes an equality of CB's intensity and polarization spectra for both polarization orientations. The precision of $P_{\gamma}$ depends on the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the values of both $A_{\text {exp }}$ and $A_{M C}$ 三ontrolling systematic errors depends critically upon the ability of the simulation to account for all experimental factors that can bias the measured value $A_{\text {exp }}$.

## 3 Layout of the experimental setup

A sketch of the experimental setup on the $\gamma-2$ beam line is shown in Fig. 1. The beam of linearly polarized photons [10], generated by 2.55 GeV electrons incident on a diamond crystal \#gth 8 mm , width 2 mm , thickness 0.072 mm ), is collimated and cleaned by the set of collimators $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and sweeping magnets $S M_{1}, S M_{2}$ to an angular divergence half-angle of 0.12 mr. It then passes through a $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Mylar converter $\left(C_{1}\right)$ located at the entrance to the PS-30 pair spectrometer. The pair spectrometer measures the CB intensity spectrum simultaneously in 30 energy bins with energy resolution $\delta E_{\gamma} / E_{\gamma}=0.02[11]$. The integral intensity of the photon beam is measured by \#Wilson quantameter (Q). The polarimeter PS-6 includes a $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ aluminum converter $\left(C_{2}\right)$ 末 , , the emitted $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs, and horizontally bending magnet, Instrumented with a six telescopes of scintillating counters, three ebonmels $\left(N_{1}=\frac{N_{2}}{2}\right)$ in eneh arm ( P is. 2). The telescopes are formed by coincidence of two hodoscopes (three small counters in each), overlapped with a single big counter, located 0.6 m downstream. ${ }^{\text {F }}$ ne left and right telescopes are shifted up and down rel-
ative to the median plane, designed to select pairs produced symmetrically with respect to the beam axis.
The vertical slit $K$ ade of lead 6 cm thick, 2.6 cm wide and 8 cm hid installed in th $\equiv$ acuum pipe and provides azimuthal angle selection of the emitted $e^{+} e^{-}$pairs within rang $\Delta \varphi= \pm 35^{\circ}$. The beam profile at that position has dimensions $16 \times 16 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$.
The PS-6 hodoscopes are located 19.9 m downstream of the $C_{2}$ convert lowing to increase the angular resolution and select pairs with an adjustable range in polar angle. The full beam line between the collimator $K_{2}$ and the exit of PS-6 is vacuum pumped, which diminishes the effects of multiple scattering on the collimation precision. The hodoscopes counters are $2.5 \mathrm{~cm}, 5.0$ cm , and 2.5 cm wide, respectively. The vertical movement of the telescopes is performed remotely with a precision of 0.1 mm .

## 4 Monte-Carlo simulations and polarimeter alignment

Fhe detailed presentation of Here we are considering an influence of some experimental uncertainties on the analyzing power. $\overline{\text { D }}$ precise adjustment of PS-6 configuration is one of the main sources of systematic uncertainties, including in particular the positioning of the telescopes vertically $(z)$ ana horizontally $\frac{\text { F }}{(x y}$ - plane and
 also become a source of differences between Monte-Carlo simulations and experimental data. Fhis respect a number of calculations has been done to model an expected dependences and elaborate a necessary experimental tests for the geometry control.
\#he Fig. 3 shows the analyzing power $A_{M}$ alculated with Cromer-Waber
atomic form－factor $[9]$ and the CB peak energy setting to $E_{\gamma}^{\text {peak }}=1000 \mathrm{MeV}$三rrsus the vertical shift $(\Delta z)$ of the central telescopes（ $N_{2 u p}, N_{2 \text { down }}$ ）relative the median plane with and without $K_{3}$ slit inserted．As one may see from figure，the vertical slit has an important impact on the increases of analyzing power，by app． 0.23 ，due to the restriction of azimuthal angle＇s acceptance． Another consequence of this restriction is the improvement in the energy res－ olution $\Rightarrow$ FS－6 channels［6］．


setting may mainly arise from the not precise median plane positioning at the location of telescopes．
test is proposed to determine the position of the median plane．At the fixed vertical gap $\Delta z$ between the up and down telescopes，the $z$－scan around expected position of the median plane is performed（see Section 5）．Ferim－ posed curve in Fig． 4 s the results of for $\Delta z=0$ configuration．
三 influence of the beam position uncertainty in the $x$－direction has been also investigated．The shift of the telescopes toward the $x$－direction，leads to the change in the $A_{M C}$ asymmetry as is seen in Fig． 5 for the central $\operatorname{bin}\left(N_{2 u p}, N_{2 d o w n}\right)$ ．The plot is made for the case of fixed $N_{2 u p}$ while $N_{2 d o w n}$ moves aside from the beam position 三d，PS （ me an for for the find in Fige full scale Monte－Carlo calculations for these configurations，in－ cluding a detailed map of analyzing magnet field，gave a compatible results
within the limits of statistical uncertainties.


## 5 First measurements and results

FThe measurements have been carried out on the beam of linearly polarized photons with intensity of $10^{8} \gamma / \mathrm{s}$ at the CB peak energy setting to $E_{\gamma}=1000$ MeV . The spectrum in the peak region was measure monitored each 5 minutes and peak position controlled using PS-30 pair spectrometer the peak position is shifted above $\Delta E_{\gamma} / E_{\gamma}=0.03$ due to beam instability, the data taking with PS-6 was blocked and the relevant crystal angle automatically tuned nen the spectrum was re-measured to confirm the CB peak position befor for the vertical and horizontal beam polarizations are shown in photons polarization was calculated according to a Ref. [4,5]. The polarization value at CB peak obtained is equal to $P_{\gamma}=0.53 \pm 0.02$.
 CB chompotibility with PS 30 poir enetrent. The normolined

 ne dif in in ben
Fhe data on the vertical $z$ - scan at fixed $\Delta z=0$ are shown in Fig. 4 together with Monte-Carlo simulation results. As can be seen from the figure, E

Ionte-Carlo predictions satisfactorily describe the experimental data. From the Gaussian fit of Monte-Carlo results the mean value error app. 0.1 mm is
obtained for the median plane position. test of the apparatus systematic uncertainties, coming in particular from the beam, detectors and monitoring system instabilities, the measurements of CB spectra with disoriented crystal (amorphous spectrum) has been carried out. The rate of PS-6 channels for amorphous spectrum was compared to the average of rates for two orthogonal orientations of photons polarization at CB peak setting to $E_{\gamma}=1000 \mathrm{MeV}$. The difference of those rates, normalized to the number of photons, didn't exceed 2-3\% (stat.), as it was expected.

Unfortunately the tight time schedule of accelerator didn't allow to fulfill the test on the slit positioning, which may become a source of systematical uncertainty in the analyzing power $A_{\text {exp }}$, so the measurements were carried out without it. Fe configuration of PS-6 telescopes for $\Delta z=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ has been chosen. The asymmetry value $A_{\text {exp }}=0.098 \pm 0.011$ (stat.) was obtained for the
 tistically most significant. In absence of the vertical slit, contributions of other channels is not considered due to the appearance of noticeably overlap with central bin. The systematic error on $\equiv$, coming from ancertainty of FPS-6 telescopes Fdjustment in the $(x, z)$ plane $\left(\epsilon_{m}=\epsilon_{z}=1 \mathrm{~mm}\right)$ has been evaluated with Monte-Carlo simulations 0.003 in the

 results of \#o polarimetry methods are compared in Fig. F
 [1] As can be seen from the figur ${ }^{\text {Ene measured value of }}$ expation well with calculated one.

## 6 Conclusion

$\equiv$
de direct measurements of linear polarization at CB peak energy $E_{\gamma}=$ 1000 MeV was carried ouN
synchrotron at 2.55 GeV energy fene using first time an analyzing power of incoherent pair production on a nuclei for this purpose. $\bar{\square}$

Although the time shortness didn't allow to reach a planned precision of CB polarimetry in the level of $0.02-0.03$, an experimental method was reliably tested in many details and expected feasibility confirmed. The polarization at
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## Figure captions

Fig. 1. Layout of the experimental set $=$
Fig. 2. Sketch of th olarimeter PS-6.
Fig. 3. The $z$-dependence of the $A_{M C}$ asymmetry with and without slit (solid and dashed curves respectively), where $z=\left(z_{\text {up }}-z_{\text {down }}\right) / 2$ is the halfheight of the gap in $z$ between the up and down counters. The energy of CB peak is set to $E_{\gamma}=1000 \mathrm{MeV}$.

Fig. 4. Th dependence of calculated and experimental yields of $\left(N_{2 u p}, N_{2 \text { down }}\right)$ coincidences at fixed $\Delta z=0$ between up and down telescopes.

Fig. 1 he $x$ - dependence of $A_{M C}$ asymmetry for the central telescopes ( $N_{2 \text { up }}, N_{2 \text { down }}$ ) of PS-6 at fixed $z=10 \mathrm{~mm}$ for the case of $N_{2 u p}$ is fixed while $N_{2 \text { down }}$ moves aside from its symmetry configuration.

Fig. Eesting the axial symmetry of PS-6 telescopes configuration
(a) an initial position of the telescopes ( $N_{2 u p}, N_{2 \text { down }}$ )
(b) the final configuration after successive rotations around vertical and horizontal axes

Fig. 7. An intensity spectra of PS-30 pair spectrometer measured at CB peak energy setting to $E_{\gamma}^{\text {peak }}=1000 \mathrm{MeV}$ for both vertical and horizontal orientations of the photon beam polarization.

Fig. 8. An intensity spectra in a CB peak region $\left(E_{\gamma}^{\text {peak }}=1000 \mathrm{MeV}\right)$ measured simultaneously by PS-30 and PS-6.

Fig. 9 Fhe measured photon beam polarization at $E_{\gamma}=1000 \pm 20 \mathrm{MeV}$ together with calculated curve of polarization by CBSA method [4].
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