Hall D Beam Tagger Review: Introduction and Charge

E.Chudakov¹

¹JLab, interim Hall D manager

Tagger magnet review, JLab, 2009

E.Chudakov

Tagger Magnet Review, 2009 Review

Review: Introduction and Charge



Hall D Project



New beamline: photon beam with unique properties New experimental hall

E.Chudakov

Tagger Magnet Review, 2009

Review: Introduction and Charge





Hall D and the beamline



Physics: beam requirements

- GlueX search for "hybrids"
 polarized tagged photons
- PRIMEX precise σ_{Primakoff} ⇒ tagged photons, stability

Beam features

- e⁻ 12 GeV < 3 μ A
- Radiator (diamond 10⁻⁴ RL)
- e^- non-radiated \rightarrow dump, radiated \rightarrow p measured
- Collimation \sim 75 m down

Photon Beam



- Diamond \Rightarrow coherent radiation at $\theta < 25 \ \mu$ rad, $\Delta E_{coh} \Rightarrow$ linear polarization
- Tagger: Fixed Array: $\frac{\sigma E}{E} \sim 0.3\%$ Microscope: $\frac{\sigma E}{E} \sim 0.1\%$
 - 8.4 9.0 GeV coherent: GlueX Microscope
 - 3.0 9.0 GeV: diamond alignment Fixed Array (sampled)
 - 9.0 11.7 GeV: PRIMEX, charm... Fixed Array, moved Microscope
- Resolution requirements: < 0.5% GlueX; < 0.1% PRIMEX

E.Chudakov



Tagger Magnet



Scheduled procurement: FY 2010-2011; Budget: \$1.16M

E.Chudakov

Tagger Magnet Review, 2009

Review: Introduction and Charge





Reviews

Previous Reviews

- Jan 2006: Hall D Tagging Spectrometer Review. all recommendations closed
- Nov 2008: Hall D Beamline & Tagger Review. no recommendation

The Goals of this Review

- Evaluate the tagger magnet specs and the basic design
- Advise on the procurement strategy

Charge

- Are the magnetic, mechanical and electrical requirements for the tagger magnet adequately defined?
- Is the reference design adequately defined at this point in time to address all requirements?
- Is the reference design adequately developed to justify completing the design in house or should the final design be sub-contracted to an external source?
- Is the procurement schedule and plan reasonable? Is it reasonable to assume that the complete package can be sub-contracted to one company? Should the procurements be broken up?
- Have ES&H considerations been adequately incorporated into the in-hall installation plans and the design at their present stage?

